

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

EVARISTO JONATHAN GARCIA,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,  
  
Respondents.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00378-MMD-WGC  
  
ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was submitted with the petition. When filing a habeas action, petitioner must either submit the \$5.00 filing fee for habeas petition or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Due to the lack of an *in forma pauperis* application or filing fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly commencing a timely filed habeas corpus action. It therefore is ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court send petitioner the following: two (2) copies of an *in forma pauperis* application form for a prisoner and one (1) copy of the

1 instructions for the same, two (2) copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition form  
2 and one (1) copy of instructions for the same; and a copy of the petition (labeled “notice  
3 of appeal” at ECF No. 1-1).

4 It is further ordered that petitioner may file a new petition in a new action, but may  
5 not file further documents in this action.

6 It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied. Reasonable jurists  
7 would not find the dismissal of the improperly commenced action without prejudice to be  
8 debatable or wrong.

9 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment accordingly.

10 DATED THIS 19<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017.

11  
12  
13 

14 MIRANDA M. DU  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28